arXiv:hep-th/9512185v1 22 Dec 1995

IHEP-95-139
December 1995

Asymptotic solution of Schwinger – Dyson equation for the gluon propagator in the infrared region [1]

A.I. Alekseev

Institute for High Energy Physics, Protvino 142284, Moscow Region, Russia
E-mail address: alekseev@mx.ihep.su

The equation for the gluon propagator in the approach of Baker-Ball-Zachariasen is considered. The possibility of non-integer power infrared behaviour is studied, $D(q) \sim (q^2)^{-c}$, $q^2 \to 0$. It is shown that the characteristic equation for the exponent has no solutions at $-1 \le c \le 3$. The approximations made to obtain the closed integral equation are analysed and the conclusion on the infrared behaviour of the gluon propagator $D(q) \sim 1/(q^2)^2$, $q^2 \to 0$ is made when the transverse part of the triple gluon vertex is taken into account.

---

[1] Talk presented at the Infernational Conference on High Energy Physics (Diffraction – 95), September 6 – 11, 1995, Novyi Svet, Crimea

The study of the infrared behaviour of Green's functions without any doubt is an important problem in QCD. We mean first of all the infrared behaviour of the gluon propagator. This behaviour is considered to have a decisive role in the problem of confinement, calculation of vacuum expectation values of gluon and quark fields, the description of spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking, etc. [1, 2]. The renormalization group method allows one to obtain the asymptotic behaviour of the gluon propagator at large momentum [3]. As we consider the infrared behaviour, then the approximate solution of the exact Schwinger – Dyson equations for Green's functions seems to be the most adequate method of nonperturbative nonlattice study. At present the commonly accepted result on the character of the infrared behaviour of the gluon propagator is absent in the literature.

Nonuniqueness of the solutions can be conditioned by nonlinearity of the corresponding integral equations as well as the difference of the truncation methods of the equations for Green's functions. As it is shown in Refs. [4], when finding nonperturbative solutions (and the infrared region is completely nonperturbative one) the problem of supplementary boundary conditions is of great importance. In Refs. [5-9] the gluon propagator behaviour $D(q) \sim 1/(q^2)^2, q^2 \to 0$ was obtained. This behaviour gives a linear confining $q\bar{q}$ potential at large distances in the Born approximation. Moreover this behaviour serves as a basis for physically attractive picture where the QCD vacuum is considered as chromomagnetic superconducting medium [10]. In this paper we consider the equation whose investigation allowed the authors of [11] to come to the conclusion on the existence of the solution having soft power behaviour at the origin and study the general case of power infrared behaviour with non-integer exponents. Then we compare the obtained results with those of [12, 13] dealing with the search for the field equations solutions for the propagator with non-integer power infrared behaviour. Then we analyse the approximations made when obtaining the closed equation for the propagator and discuss the results.

The gluon propagator in Baker - Ball - Zachariasen approach [5] is defined by only one scalar function $Z(q^2)$,

$$D_{\mu\nu}(q) = Z(q^2)D^{(0)}_{\mu\nu}(q),\ D^{(0)}_{\mu\nu}(q) = -(q^2)^{-1}\Sigma_{\mu\nu}(q), \tag{1}$$

$$\Sigma_{\mu\nu}(q) = g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{q_\mu\eta_\nu + q_\nu\eta_\mu}{(q\eta)} + \frac{q_\mu q_\nu \eta^2}{(q\eta)^2}. \tag{2}$$

If we assume that the longitudinal part of triple gluon function is dominant and neglect the transverse part then for $Z(q^2)$ the following equation can be obtained [5, 7]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
q^2\left(Z^{-1}(q^2)-1\right)(1-y) &= 2^{-1}Z^{-1}(q^2)\int\frac{dk}{k^2k'^2}\frac{(k\eta)-(k'\eta)}{\eta^2}\Sigma_{\lambda\sigma}(k)\times \\
&\times\Sigma_{\lambda\rho}(k')\Big[Z(k'^2)(k\eta)\rho(k,q)q_\sigma(q+k)_\rho- \\
-Z(k^2)(k'\eta)\rho(k'q)q_\rho(q+k')_\sigma &- Z(q^2)\left(Z(k'^2)(k\eta)-Z(k^2)(k'\eta)\right)g_{\sigma\rho}- \\
&-Z(q^2)\rho(k,k')\left((k\eta)-(k'\eta)\right)\left((kk')g_{\sigma\rho}-k_\rho k'_\sigma\right)\Big]. \qquad (3)
\end{aligned}
$$

In Eqs. (1) – (3) η_μ is gauge vector, $k'=q-k$, $dk\equiv ig^2\mu^{4-n}Nd^nk/(2\pi)^n$, n is space-time dimension, $\rho(q_i,q_j)=(Z(q_i^2)-Z(q_j^2))/(q_i^2-q_j^2)$. Provided the condition $(q\eta)=0$ is imposed, after a Wick rotation, approximate angular integration [14] and the corresponding UV - subtractions, the equation for renormalized function $Z_R(q^2)=Z(-q^2)/Z(-\mu^2)$ has been obtained [11], μ^2 is renormalization point. This equation has the following form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{Z_R(q^2)} &= 1+\frac{3\alpha_s(\mu^2)}{\pi}\left(T_1+\frac{T_2}{Z_R(q^2)}\right), \\
T_1=\int\limits_0^{q^2}F_1(q^2,y)dy &- \int\limits_0^{\mu^2}F_1(\mu^2,y)dy+\int\limits_{q^2}^{\infty}F_2(q^2,y)dy-\int\limits_{\mu^2}^{\infty}F_2(\mu^2,y)dy, \\
T_2=\int\limits_0^{q^2}F_4(q^2,y)dy &- \int\limits_0^{\mu^2}F_4(\mu^2,y)dy+\int\limits_{q^2}^{\infty}F_5(q^2,y)dy-\int\limits_{\mu^2}^{\infty}F_5(\mu^2,y)dy. \qquad (4)
\end{aligned}
$$

The functions $F_{1,2}(x,y)$ in Eq. (4) are linear in $Z_R(x)$, $Z_R(y)$, $Z_R(x+y)$, and $F_{4,5}(x,y)$ are quadratic. As a result of numerical study [11] the following parameterization was suggested to be used in phenomenological applications

$$
D(q^2)=\frac{Z_R(q^2)}{q^2}=\frac{m^{-2}}{c_1(q^2/m^2)^{\gamma_1}+c_2(q^2/m^2)^{\gamma_2}+L(q^2/m^2)ln(\lambda_1q^2/m^2+\lambda_2)}. \qquad (5)
$$

It is essential that $\gamma_1\simeq 0,22$ and $\gamma_2\simeq 0,86$ are non-integer parameters. In Ref. [15] the possibility of the infrared behaviour of the form

$$
Z_R(x)=\left(x/\mu^2\right)^{1-c}\left(\alpha_0+\alpha_1x/\mu^2+\alpha_2(x/\mu^2)^2+...\right) \qquad (6)
$$

was considered for the values $0 < c < 1$ corresponding to the soft singular behaviour of the propagator. In Ref. [16] the wider interval $-1 < c < 3$ was studied, and in Ref. [17] the generalization was made for the case of superposition of the terms with power infrared behaviour,

$$Z_R^{-1}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left(x/\mu^2\right)^{c_n} f_n\left(x/\mu^2\right) + f_0\left(x/\mu^2\right), \tag{7}$$

where functions $f_k(x/\mu^2), k = 0, 1, ..., N$ are supposed to be analytic at the origin and non-integer parameters c_n are numbered as $c_1 < c_2 < ... < c_N$ ($c_1 = c - 1,\ f_1(0) = \alpha_0^{-1}$). Equation (4) was shown to have no solutions with infrared behaviour of form (6), (7), if $-1 < c < 3$ and c is non-integer (non-half-integer). When doing this, the technique of extracting power terms ($\sim (q^2)^{-c_1}$, $q^2 \to 0$) of the integral equation under consideration defined only by the infrared behaviour of the propagator was developed. In the infrared region Eq. (4) takes the form:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{Z_R(q^2)} &= 1 + \frac{3\alpha_s(\mu^2)}{\pi}\{P(q^2) + \frac{1}{Z_R(q^2)}Q(q^2) - \\ &- \alpha_0(q^2/\mu^2)^{-c_1}\Delta(c) + o((q^2/\mu^2)^{-c_1})\},\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where $\Delta(c)$ is characteristic function, $P(q^2)$, $Q(q^2)$ are some regular at $q^2 = 0$ functions which depend on the behaviour of $Z_R(q^2)$ at all values of the argument. At $c_1 > 0$ the term with the behaviour $(q^2)^{-c_1}$ is leading at $q^2 \to 0$. So, for the exponent we have the characteristic equation $\Delta(c) = 0$. At $c_1 < 0$ the term $(q^2)^{-c_1}$ in eq. (8) defined by the infrared region is not leading. Nevertheless, the consideration of this case [15, 17] shows that for the infrared asymptotics (6) or (7) to be consistent with Eq. (4) it is necessary that $\Delta(c) = 0$. It turns out that the function $\Delta(c)$ at $c = -1, -1/2, 0, 1/2, 1, 3$ has simple poles and in the intermediate points of the interval $[-1, 3]$ there are no roots of the equation $\Delta(c) = 0$. The explicit form of the characteristic function is

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(c) &= \frac{23}{24}\frac{1}{c+1} - \frac{187}{96}\frac{1}{2c+1} + \frac{7}{24}\frac{1}{c} - \frac{5}{12}\frac{1}{2c-1} + \\ &+ \frac{2}{3}\frac{1}{c-1} - \frac{3}{4}\frac{1}{c-3} + \tilde{\Delta}(c),\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Delta}(c) &= \frac{1}{24}\{\int\limits_0^1 dt[(1+t)^{1-c}(28t^{-3+2c}+28t^{2-c}-9t^{-2+c}-16(1+t^{-3+2c})\times \\ &\times (1-t^{1-c})/(1-t)) - 12t^{-3+2c} + 4(1+3c)t^{-2+2c} + \\ &+ 2(16-5c-3c^2)t^{-1+2c} + 2(c^3+4c^2-13c+16)t^{2c} + \\ &+ 1/6(192-122c+51c^2-22c^3-3c^4)t^{1+2c} - 16t^{1-c} + \\ &+ 4(4c-15)t^{2-c} - 7t^{-2+c} + (7c-23)t^{-1+c} - (7c^2 - \\ &- 39c+64)t^c/2] - 23\gamma(2-c) + 41\gamma(3-c) - 11\gamma(4-c) + \\ &+ \gamma(5-c) + \frac{6}{4-c} - \frac{1}{5-c} + 63/4 - 17c/6 + 7c^2/12 + c^3/4\}, \qquad (9)\end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma(x) = (2^x - 1)/x$. In Ref. [12] the approximate angular integration in eq. (3) was not carried out and dimensional regularization was used for which the ultraviolet subtractions are made automatically. Denote by $\Delta_{dim}(c)$ the characteristic function of Ref. [12] divided by $-2\Gamma(c)$ to pass to the normalization of the present paper. It looks like:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{dim}(c) = \frac{\Gamma(2c-2)\Gamma^2(3-c)}{2\Gamma^2(c)\Gamma(6-2c)}\{\frac{2(5-2c)^2}{c-2} + \frac{1}{c-2}{}_3F_2(1,4-c,2-c;c,7-2c;1) + \\ + \frac{2(c-1)}{c}{}_3F_2(1,3-c,2-c;c+1,7-2c;1)\} + \frac{(12-5c)\Gamma(c-4)}{2\Gamma(c+1)} - \\ - \frac{(c^2-6c+15)\Gamma(c-2)\Gamma^2(3-c)}{2\Gamma(c+1)\Gamma(6-2c)}. \qquad (10)\end{aligned}$$

At $c < 2$ the behaviour of the function $\Delta_{dim}(c)$ is similar to $\Delta(c)$ but at $c > 2$ the behaviour of $\Delta_{dim}(c)$ qualitatively differs [17] from that of $\Delta(c)$ and at $c \simeq 2,537$ it vanishes. This value corresponds to nearly oscillatory potential $V(r) \sim r^{2,07}$. However, we are not confident that this solution is consistent for nonzero values of gauge parameter $y = \gamma^{-1} = (p\eta)^2/p^2\eta^2$.

In Ref. [13] the equation for the gluon propagator in simple loops approximation was investigated and self-consistency equation for non-integer exponents was obtained. Solutions of this equation were found but it remains unclear whether its solutions correspond to the infrared asymptotics or to the ultraviolet one.

Let us consider the assumptions made when obtaining the basic equation (3) in some detail. The Schwinger – Dyson equation for the gluon propagator in the axial gauge has the following structure:

$$P_{\mu\nu}(p) - P^{(0)}_{\mu\nu}(p) = \Pi_{\mu\nu}(p) = \Pi^3_{\mu\nu}(p) + \Pi^4_{\mu\nu}(p), \tag{11}$$

where $\Pi^3_{\mu\nu}$ and $\Pi^4_{\mu\nu}$ are one-loop and two-loop terms of polarization operator. The inverse propagator $P_{\mu\nu}(p)$ depending on two scalar functions and free inverse propagator $P^{(0)}_{\mu\nu}$ can be represented by

$$P_{\mu\nu}(p) = P_a T^P_{\mu\nu} + P_b L_\mu L_\nu / p^2,\ P^{(0)}_{\mu\nu} = -p^2 T^P_{\mu\nu},$$

where

$$T^P_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} - p_\mu p_\nu / p^2,\ L_\mu = p_\mu - \eta_\mu p^2/(p\eta).$$

The tensor structure of one and two - loop terms is [9]:

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi^3_{\mu\nu}(p) &= \Pi^3_a T^P_{\mu\nu} + \Pi^3_b L_\mu L_\nu / p^2 + \Pi^3_c N_\mu L_\nu/(p\eta), && (12) \\ \Pi^4_{\mu\nu}(p) &= \Pi^4_b K_{\mu\nu}(p) + \Pi^4_c N_\mu L_\nu/(p\eta), && (13) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\mu\nu} &= \Sigma_{\mu\nu} - \gamma T^\eta_{\mu\nu} = (1-\gamma) T^P_{\mu\nu} + L_\mu L_\nu / p^2, \\ N_\mu &= \eta_\mu - p_\mu \eta^2/(p\eta), \quad T^\eta_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} - \eta_\mu \eta_\nu / \eta^2. && (14) \end{aligned}$$

Note that the presence of nonsymmetric term in Eq. (12) makes it inconsistent to consider the tensor equation without two-loop term. Let us see further what are the consequences of the assumption on dominance of one tensor structure in the propagator, $D_{\mu\nu}(p) = Z(p) D^{(0)}_{\mu\nu}(p)$. In this case $P_a = -Z^{-1}(p)p^2$, $P_b = 0$ and tensor Eq. (11) gives $\Pi^3_b = -\Pi^4_b$, so we obtain the following tensor equation:

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\mu\nu}(p) - P^{(0)}_{\mu\nu}(p) &= \left(\Pi^3_a - (1-\gamma)\Pi^3_b\right) T^P_{\mu\nu} = \\ &= \frac{1}{1-y} \frac{\eta_\rho \eta_\lambda}{\eta^2} \Pi^3_{\rho\lambda} T^P_{\mu\nu}. && (15) \end{aligned}$$

Consider the contribution of the tadpole term. We have

$$\Phi_{\mu\nu} \frac{\eta_\mu \eta_\nu}{\eta^2} = \frac{i}{(2\pi)^n} g^2 N \int d^n k \frac{Z(k)}{k^2} (n - 2 + \gamma). \tag{16}$$

It does not depend on the external momentum and it is some constant, may be infinite. Consider the assumption on independence of Z of gauge parameter, $Z(p) = Z(p^2)$. This assumption has been shown [18] to be inconsistent in the framework of the BBZ approach [5] and this approach should be modified. Consider what the consequences of the assumption for the tadpole term are. The angular integral equals

$$\int d\Omega_n \left[\frac{k^2\eta^2}{(k\eta)^2}\right] = \Omega_{n-1}\int_{-1}^{1}(1-x^2)^{(n-3)/2}\left[\frac{1}{x^2}\right]dx = -(n-2)\Omega_n, \tag{17}$$

where $\Omega_n = 2\pi^{n/2}/\Gamma(n/2)$, and the singularity at the origin is understood in any of the senses:

$$\left[\frac{1}{x^2}\right] = PV\frac{1}{x^2},\ \frac{1}{(x+i0)^2},\ \frac{1}{(x-i0)^2},\ |x|^{\lambda}\ |_{\lambda=-2} = x^{-2}.$$

So, for reasonable axial singularity prescriptions and sensible regularizations (e.g., dimensional regularization, symmetric integration) the tadpole term vanishes for $Z(p) = Z(p^2)$. For $Z(k^2)\ |_{k^2\to\infty} \sim ln^{\alpha}k^2$ the divergent term in Eq. (3) of the form $\sim \Lambda^2 ln^{\alpha}\Lambda^2$ should be subtracted by the corresponding mass counterterm. The characteristic equations have no solutions at $c = 2$ ($D \sim 1/(q^2)^2$). In this case the infrared contribution is a constant and it could be considered as a part of mass renormalization constant [18]. Before doing this let us look more attentively at the condition $(p\eta) = 0$ imposed to make the problem tractable. As it was noted in [19], the Poincarè-Bertrand singular terms should be taken into account when using the decomposition formula for the axial denominators. For generalized c-prescription [20]

$$\left[\frac{1}{(k\eta)}\right] = \frac{1}{(k\eta)+i0} + c\delta((k\eta)) \tag{18}$$

the decomposition formula has the form:

$$\begin{aligned}\left[\frac{1}{(k\eta)}\right]\left[\frac{1}{(p-k,\eta)}\right] &= \left[\frac{1}{(p\eta)}\right]\left(\left[\frac{1}{(k\eta)}\right]+\left[\frac{1}{(p-k,\eta)}\right]\right) + \\ &+ c(2\pi i - c)\delta\left((k\eta)\right)\delta\left((p\eta)\right).\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

At $c = 0, 2\pi i$ the Poincarè-Bertrand terms are absent and in the case $c = i\pi$ corresponding to principal value prescription, one should account for them.

Consider whether these terms prevent from going to the limit $(p\eta) = 0$ in the integral equation (3). It can be seen that in product of the axial singularities we can not go to the limit $(p\eta) = 0$,

$$PV\frac{1}{(k\eta)}PV\frac{1}{(p-k,\eta)}\bigg|_{(p\eta)\to 0} \to -PV\frac{1}{(k\eta)^2} - \pi^2\delta\left((k\eta)\right)\delta\left((p\eta)\right). \qquad (20)$$

It can be shown that for $Z(q^2) = -M^2/q^2$ the additional contribution in Eq. (3) has the form:

$$\Delta_{PB}(p) = g^2M^2N(p^2/\mu^2)^\epsilon\sqrt{p^2\eta^2}\delta((p\eta))\times$$

$$\times\left\{y^{-1/2+\epsilon}[\phi_1(\epsilon) + y\phi_2(\epsilon) + O(y^2)] + \chi_1(\epsilon) + y\chi_2(\epsilon) + O(y^2)\right\}, \qquad (21)$$

and $\phi_1(\epsilon) = 0, \chi_1(\epsilon)\mid_{\epsilon\to 0}\sim \epsilon$, $\epsilon = (n-4)/2$. At $\epsilon = 0$

$$\Delta_{PB}(p) = \delta((p\eta))\sum_{k=1} c_k(p\eta)^k = 0,$$

and the Poincarè-Bertrand terms do not prevent from going to the limit $(p\eta) \to 0$. It is reasonable to assume that this is true for the less singular behaviour of $Z(k^2)$ at zero.

Consider the results for the case $(p\eta) \neq 0$. For $Z(q^2) = -M^2/q^2$ it was obtained in Refs. [8, 9, 21] that,

$$\Pi^3_{\mu\nu}\frac{\eta_\mu\eta_\nu}{\eta^2} = -\frac{g^2M^2N}{16\pi^2}[3y - 3 - yln(4y) - F(y)/2], \qquad (22)$$

where

$$F(y) = y\int_0^1 ln(ty)(1-t)^{-1/2}(1-ty)^{-1}dt.$$

If one keeps the dependence on ϵ, then at $y \to 0$ the result can be represented as a series of the form

$$\Pi^3_{\mu\nu}\frac{\eta_\mu\eta_\nu}{\eta^2} = -\frac{g^2M^2N}{16\pi^2}[7 - 10y^\epsilon + a_1(\epsilon)y + b_1(\epsilon)y^{1+\epsilon} + \cdots]. \qquad (23)$$

At $\epsilon < 0$ it is impossible to go to the limit $y \to 0$, and at $\epsilon > 0$ one can do it with the result 7 in brackets of Eq. (23). If one puts $\epsilon = 0$ at first, then -3 in brackets of Eq. (23) at $y = 0$ is obtained. Thus the limits $y \to 0$ and

$\epsilon \to 0$ do not commute and the point $(p\eta) = 0$ is singular for Eq. (3) at least for $Z(q^2) \sim 1/q^2$. Having the dependence on the gauge parameter y in (22), it is impossible to solve Eq. (3) asymptotically by mass subtraction.

Let us verify the correctness of the assumption on dominance of longitudinal part of the vertex function. The direct calculation [8, 9] shows that the transverse part of triple gluon vertex Γ^T introduced in ref. [7] of the form

$$i\Gamma^T_{3\lambda\mu\nu}(p,q,r) = \xi M^{-2}\{g_{\lambda\mu}[p_\nu(qr) - q_\nu(pr)] +$$

$$+ g_{\mu\nu}[q_\lambda(pr) - r_\lambda(pq)] + g_{\nu\lambda}[r_\mu(pq) - p_\mu(qr)] + r_\lambda p_\mu q_\nu - q_\lambda r_\mu p_\nu\} \qquad (24)$$

gives nonzero contribution which completely cancels y-dependent right hand side of Eq. (22) at $\xi = 1$. So, taking into account the transverse part of triple gluon vertex (which is not fixed by Slavnov - Taylor identities) it turns out possible to satisfy asymptotically the Schwinger - Dyson equation for the propagator with infrared behaviour $D(q) \sim 1/(q^2)^2, q^2 \to 0$. Note that the transverse part of vertex function (24) naturally meets the scaling property [21]. It seems unlikely that there are other ways to cancel the leading at $q^2 \to 0$ term of the Schwinger - Dyson equation which has the complicated dependence on the gauge parameter.

We conclude that the power type infrared behaviour (6), (7) of the gluon propagator is inconsistent, whereas the behaviour $D(q) \sim 1/(q^2)^2, q^2 \to 0$ is consistent. Despite of the differences in the argumentation, the same conclusion was made by the authors of the recent paper [22].

I would like to thank B. A. Arbuzov, R. Oehme, V. E. Rochev, A. A. Slavnov for helpful discussions. I am most grateful to L.L. Jenkovszky and all members of the Organizing Committee for a kind invitation and hospitality. This research was supported in part by the Russian Foundation of Fundamental Investigations, Grant No. 95-02-03704-a.

References

[1] B.A. Arbuzov.//Phys. Element. Part. Atom. Nucl. 19 (1988) 5.

[2] C.D. Roberts and A.G. Williams.//Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 33 (1994) 477.

[3] R. Oehme, W. Xu.//Phys. Lett. B 333 (1994) 172.

[4] C.M. Bender, F. Cooper and L.M. Simmons.//Jr., Phys. Rev. D 39 (1989) 2343;
V.E. Rochev.//J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 26 (1993) 1235.

[5] M. Baker, J.S. Ball and F. Zachariasen.//Nucl.Phys. B186 (1981) 531 and 560.

[6] S. Mandelstam.//Phys.Rev. D20 (1979) 3223;
H. Pagels.//Phys.Rev. D15 (1977) 2991;
N. Brown, M.R. Pennington.//Phys. Rev. D38 (1988) 2266.

[7] A.I. Alekseev.//Yad. Fiz. 33 (1981) 516.

[8] A.I. Alekseev, B.A. Arbuzov, V.A. Baykov.//Teor. Mat. Fiz. 52 (1982) 187.

[9] A.I. Alekseev, V.F. Edneral.//Yad. Fiz. 45 (1987) 1105.

[10] G.'t Hooft.//Phys. Scripta 25 (1982) 133;
V.P. Nair, C.Rosenzweig.//Phys. Rev. D31 (1985) 401;
M. Baker, J.S. Ball and F. Zachariasen.//Phys.Rep. 209 (1991) 73.

[11] J.R. Cudell and D.A. Ross.//Nucl.Phys. B359 (1991) 247;
J.R. Cudell.//Proc. of the 4^{th} Blois Workshop on Elastic & Diffractive Scattering. 1991. La Biodola, Italy.

[12] A.I. Alekseev.//Teor. Mat. Fiz. 48 (1981) 324.

[13] A.N. Vassiliev, Yu.M. Pis'mak, Yu.R. Honkonen. Teor. Mat. Fiz. 48 (1981) 284.

[14] W.J. Schoenmaker.//Nucl.Phys. B191 (1982) 535.

[15] A.I. Alekseev.//Phys. Lett. B 344 (1995) 325.

[16] A.I. Alekseev. In: Proc. of the XVII Workshop "Problems on High Energy Physics and Field Theory", Protvino, 1994.

[17] A.I. Alekseev.//Teor. Mat. Fiz. (1995, to appear).

[18] D. Atkinson, P.W. Johnson, W.J. Schoenmaker and H.A. Slim. Nuovo Cim. A 77 (1983) 197.

[19] A. Bassetto, R. Soldati.//Nucl. Phys. B 276 (1986) 517.

[20] A.I. Alekseev. – ICTP preprint IC/91/360 (1991).

[21] E.L. Heck and H.A. Slim.//Nuovo Cim. A 88 (1985) 407.

[22] K. Büttner and M.R. Pennington. Univ. of Durham preprint DTP-95/32 (1995).